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May Measurement Month (MMM) is an international screening campaign for arterial hypertension initiated by the International Society of Hypertension and endorsed by the World Hypertension League. Its aim is to raise the awareness of elevated blood pressure ( BP ) in the population worldwide. The goal of the present analyses is to assess the results obtained during three years of this campaign in Switzerland. Swiss data from MMM17 to MMM19 campaigns were used. BP and a questionnaire for basic demographic and clinical information were recorded for each participant. BP measurements and definition of arterial hypertension followed the standard MMM protocol. To assess BP control, European Society of Hypertension 2018 thresholds of $<140 / 90 \mathrm{mmHg}$ were used. Overall, 3635 participants had their BP measured, including 2423 women ( $66.7 \%$ ) and 1212 (33.3\%) men. More than half of the data came from pharmacies during MMM18 and MMM 19 campaigns. The difference in BP between pharmacies and other screenings sites was small. Overall, prevalence and awareness rates were $32.7 \%$ and $72.3 \%$, respectively. Of those on medication, $60.9 \%$ were controlled, and of all hypertensive patients, $39.4 \%$ had controlled BP. In Switzerland, the prevalence of hypertension based on a 3-year awareness campaign was similar to previous epidemiological data within the country. One third of the population screened had hypertension, two thirds were aware of it, and less than half had controlled BP.

## Introduction

Arterial hypertension (AH) is the leading cause of global cardiovascular mortality, and its high prevalence is still increasing worldwide. ${ }^{1}$ According to the Swiss Healthy survey conducted in 2012, hypertension prevalence was $27 \%$ and in unselected Swiss population-based studies in adults, prevalence ranged between $26 \%$ and $36.6 \%$. ${ }^{2-5}$ Data from the Swiss Federal Office of Statistics showed

[^0]that in 2018 ischemic heart disease accounted for 54.9\% of death in men and $25.3 \%$ in women and cerebrovascular diseases for $20.4 \%$ and $17.4 \%$, respectively, per 100000 persons, supporting that early detection and efficient treatment of AH are of high importance to prevent these events.

Today, primary care is the main place where AH is diagnosed either through systematic routine screening or by opportunistic measurement. However, a significant number of hypertensive patients do not attend regular primary care consultations. Pharmacies, as being the most accessible and frequently visited places compared

Table 1 Total participants and prevalence of hypertension, awareness, treatment, and controlled blood pressure

|  | Number of participants | Number with hypertension | Proportion of all participants with hypertension (\%) | Proportion of hypertensives aware (\%) | Proportion of hypertensives on medication (\%) | Proportion of those on medication with controlled BP (\%) | Proportion of all hypertensives controlled (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 3635 | 1187 | 32.7 | 72.2 | 64.6 | 60.9 | 39.4 |
| Female | 2423 | 598 | 24.7 | 72.8 | 63.0 | 64.4 | 40.6 |
| Male | 1212 | 589 | 48.6 | 74.8 | 66.3 | 57.6 | 38.2 |

with other health-care facilities, have shown to provide reliable data on blood pressure (BP) ${ }^{6}$ and may have a significant impact on high BP diagnosis and adherence to treatment. ${ }^{7}$ We took the opportunity to include Swiss pharmacies during the May Measurement Month (MMM) campaigns as well as sites in hospitals and public areas. We present the results from these crosssectional measurements and surveys in the present manuscript.

## Methods

Ethics approval for this project was obtained by the local ethics committee (2017-00531) for five years. Data were collected from 2017-2019 Switzerland's MMM participation and carried out throughout the month of May of each year. In 2018, regional pharmacies participated in the MMM campaign, and in 2019, the screening was extended to national pharmacies. The Lausanne University Hospital, served as the Swiss coordinating center during MMM17 to MMM19. All data were entered directly on the application during the subject's visit, analysed centrally by the MMM project team, and multiple imputation was performed to impute the mean of readings two and three where this was missing, based on available data as described previously. ${ }^{8,9}$ Swiss data were extracted by statisticians of the MMM program and sent to the coordinating investigator. Participants-volunteer adults ( $\geq 18$ years)-were provided with a complete information leaflet about the study and AH facts. Written informed consent was obtained. Health professionals of the screening sites performed standardized BP measurements by an automated electronic device on the upper arm-preferably left-in triplicate (one min intervals) according to international guidelines. ${ }^{10} \mathrm{BP}$ and heart rate (HR) were directly uploaded on the server using the online app provided by the lead organization from MMM17 to MMM19. AH was defined if one of the following criteria was met: use of antihypertensive medications, average systolic BP (SBP) (mean of the last two of three readings) $\geq 140 \mathrm{mmHg}$, and/or average diastolic BP (DBP) (mean of the last two of three readings) $\geq 90 \mathrm{mmHg} .{ }^{10}$ Controlled AH for those on medication was defined as SBP of $<140 \mathrm{mmHg}$ and DBP of $<90 \mathrm{mmHg}$. ${ }^{10}$ Awareness and screening site type were collected only in 2018 and 2019. Additional study covariates were collected via
sociodemographic and medical questionnaires, which were anonymously collected and uploaded on the app. ${ }^{9}$ Statistical analysis methods are presented in Supplementary material online.

## Results

A total of 3635 participants were included during the MMM17 to MMM19 campaigns (mean age $48.1 \pm 18.8$ years). Women were represented in a higher proportion than men ( $66.7 \%$ vs. $33.3 \%$ ). Ethnicity was almost exclusively white ( $88.9 \%$ ). Of all participants, $21.1 \%$ were on antihypertensive medication and $24.5 \%$ (of the participants screened during MMM18 and MMM19) had never had their BP measured. Details of baseline and sociodemographics characteristics are presented in the Supplementary material (see Supplementary material online, Table S1). More than half of the data came from pharmacies serving as screening sites (MMM18 and MMM19 campaigns). The difference between mean BP between pharmacies and other screening sites is presented in Supplementary material online, Table S2, with a significantly lower ( $2.2 \mathrm{mmHg}, P<0.001$ ) average diastolic in people screened in hospitals but no other significant BP differences between pharmacies and other screening sites. The prevalence, awareness, and control rates for all participants and by sex are presented below (Table 1). A total of $32.7 \%$ of all participants had hypertension, of whom $72.2 \%$ were aware and $64.6 \%$ were on medication. Of those on medication, $60.9 \%$ were controlled. Women had higher control rates than men ( $64.4 \%$ vs. $57.6 \%$ ). Of all hypertensives, $39.4 \%$ were controlled.

## Discussion

The main findings of this study are that prevalence of AH in this opportunistic sample from Switzerland is $32.7 \%$ with an awareness rate of $72.2 \%$. One fourth of all participants had their BP measured for the first time, and only $39.4 \%$ of all hypertensive patients had controlled BP, which leaves extensive room for improvement. Women presented higher control rates.

The prevalence of AH was similar to that found in the Bus Santé study of Geneva (34.4\%), in the CoLaus study (36.7\%), and in the Swiss Survey on Salt study (25.6\%). ${ }^{3-5}$

Of note, more than half of the data came from pharmacies, which indicates that screening campaigns in pharmacies are feasible. However, participants in pharmacies are predominately women.

Our study presents some limitations. Selection bias cannot be ruled out as screening centers were not randomly assigned and included different sites such as hospitals, pharmacies, and companies. In addition, the questionnaire included some changes in the questions included after MMM17, such as on awareness. Nevertheless, on a totally voluntary basis, the campaigns enabled the measurement of BP in participants, who never had their BP measured.

In conclusion, the MMM campaigns enabled the screening of about one thousand persons per year, most of whom were screened in pharmacies. With women participating more frequently in screening campaigns in pharmacies, the role of pharmacies is further highlighted.

## Supplementary material

Supplementary material is available at European Heart Journal online.
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